



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,959	12/30/2003	Farhad Barzegar	1014-053 (2003-0009)	7879
26652	7590	09/17/2008	EXAMINER	
AT&T CORP. ROOM 2A207 ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/748,959	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BARZEGAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2619	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05-29-2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |



## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

The amendment filed 05-29-2008 has been entered and considered.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-20 remain rejected as discussed below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The added subject matter which is not adequately described in the original disclosure is as follow: "running said Dolby Digital AC-3 codec on a digital signal processor installed on the subscriber interface line card"

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
4. Claim 1-9, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hjartarson et al (Wo/2001/017219) in view of Smyth et al (an independent evaluation of the performance of the CCITT G.722 wide band coding recommendation) in view of Vernon (Design and Implementation of AC-3 Coders) and further in view of Tighe et al (US 2004/0041603). Hereinafter referred to as Hjartarson, Smyth, and Tighe.

Regarding claim 1, 19 and 20. Hjartarson discloses a telecommunication method. The A method comprising: receiving at a subscriber interface line card an analog signal from POTS subscriber loop circuit (see figure 3. POTS 20 sends analog signals to 62, and see page 5, lines 24-34), the line card adapted to utilize an enhanced mode, the enhanced mode adapted to used a codec (fig.3, 62), via the enhanced mode, quantizing the analog signal into plurality of digital samples (see page 5, lines 1-12, the interface line card includes a digitizer for digitizing the received analog signal), encoding the

plurality of digital samples via codec (see page 5, lines 1-12, the interface line card includes a packetizer for packetizing the digitized voice signals and a controller for controlling the destination of the voice signals) and converting, via conversion instructions running on the digital signal processor, the encoded plurality of digital samples to a plurality of VoATM (see figure 3, element 46. the interface line card converts the digital samples into VoATM cells).

Hjartarson discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of using a G.722 codec and AC-3. However, Smyth and Vernon, from the same field of endeavor, disclose the benefits/implementation of the G.722 codec and AC-3 codec. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the G.722 codec and AC-3 codec, as taught by Smyth and Vernon, into the line card (specifically 24 or 46, Hjartarson: page 9, lines 21-25) of Hjartarson for the purpose of providing high quality voice signals and enhancing the performance of the transmitted signals.

Hjartarson discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention. Hjartarson does not explicitly discuss how the codec capabilities are negotiated between the communicating ends and how to switch from low capability to higher capability codecs. However, Tighe from the same field of endeavor discloses a method of negotiating the capability on using enhanced media capabilities and switching from media capability to enhanced media capability (see figs.6 and 7). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of negotiating media capabilities as taught by Tighe, into the method taught by Hjartarson

for the purpose of providing the best quality of communication as it can be supported by the system

Regarding claim 2. The method of Hjartarson further comprises sampling the received analog signal into a plurality of samples (see page 9, lines 26-33. Codecs have a sampling rate. Moreover, it is necessitated by the art to sample analog signals into a plurality of samples and convert each sample into a numeric codes).

Regarding claim 3. The method of Hjartarson further comprises digitizing a plurality of samples obtained from the received analog signal (see page 5, lines 1-12. the system comprises a digitizer for digitizing the received voice signal).

Regarding claim 4. The method of Hjartarson further comprises providing a destination address to each of the plurality of packets (see page 5, lines 1-12. The system comprises a controller for controlling the destination of the packets), the line card adapted to append an address header to signals transmitted to a subscriber loop circuit (each packet or cell must have a header to specify a destination).

Regarding claim 5. The method of Hjartarson further comprises providing the plurality of VoATM packets to a VoATM packet interface (see figure 3, elements 46 and 70, where the system provides VoATM packets to a VoATM packet interface).

Regarding claims 6, 7 and 15. The method of Hjartarson further comprises via instructions running on the digital signal processor, performing echo cancellation and suppression on the encoded plurality of digitized samples (see page 7, lines 5-10. the processor conducts echo cancellation and suppression on the encoded plurality of

digitized samples), the line card adapted to switch automatically between the codec specified in G.722 and a POTS codec based upon capabilities of customer premises equipment (see page 6, lines 12-21).

Regarding claim 8. The method of Hjartarson further comprises via instructions running on the digital signal processor, compressing the plurality of digitized samples (see page 5, lines 1-12. the system packetized the digitized signal, which indicate samples compression). ), the line card adapted to switch automatically between the codec specified in G.722 and a POTS codec based upon network capabilities (see page 6, lines 12-21).

Regarding claim 9. The method of Hjartarson further comprises via instructions running on the digital signal processor, modulating the plurality of digitized samples (see page 5, lines 1-12. the system packetizes the digitized samples which indicate samples modulation). The Line card adapted to encode the plurality of digital samples into an ADPCM format (Smyth discloses the benefits of G.722. ITU-T G.722 uses a fundamental adaptive difference pulse coded modulation (ADPCM) and is a speech quality basis of a variety of wide-band speech coders).

Regarding claim 16. Hjartarson discloses subscriber line card is adapted to be installed at a central office, central office switch or remote terminal of a central office switch (see figure 3 element 68. element 60 can be installed anywhere within the network). The subscriber interface line card adapted to receive a signal indicative of highest possible bearer bandwidth and codec that network is capable of supporting and



the highest codec is selected based on the received signal (Tighe: Fig.6 and 7 and paragraph [0025]).

Regarding claim 17. Hjartarson discloses subscriber line card is adapted to be installed at a central office, central office switch or remote terminal of a central office switch (see figure 3 element 68. element 60 can be installed anywhere within the network), the subscriber interface line card adapted to interwork with ISDN (see fig.3) to negotiate bearer capabilities between calling and called parties (Tighe: Fig.6 and 7 and paragraph [0025]).

Regarding claim 18. Hjartarson discloses subscriber line card is adapted to be installed at a central office, central office switch or remote terminal of a central office switch (see figure 3 element 68. element 60 can be installed anywhere within the network) the subscriber interface line card adapted to receive a response to a query of server, the query to the server to determine if a terminating subscriber is an enhanced server subscriber (Tighe: figs. 6 and 7).

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hjartarson in view of Smyth, in view of Vernon, in view of Schulzrinne and further in view of Hluchyj (US 6381238).

Regarding claim 10. The method of Hjartarson further comprises via instructions running on the digital signal processor, pulse code modulating the plurality of digitized

samples (see page 5, lines 1-12. the system converts analog signals into digital signals. Which indicate pulse code modulation of the digitized samples).

Hjartarson in view of Smyth and further in view of Vernon discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception that the line card is adapted to encode the plurality of digital samples into an LD-CEPL format. However, Hluchyj, from the same field of endeavor, discloses that it can be easily transcoded between LD-CELP and G.722. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transcode between G.722 and LD-CELP, as taught by Hluchyj, for the purpose of achieving high efficiency and low delay at the same time in order to fulfill the requirement needs of digital telephony under International Telecommunication Union (ITU).

6. Claims 11-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Hjartarson in view of Smyth, in view of Vernon, in view of Schulzrinne and further in view of Nodoushani et al (US 7,164,694). Hereinafter referred to as Nodoushani.

Regarding claims 11-13. Hjartarson discloses a system, which utilizes a DTMF and Fax machines (It should be noted that the term voice is used generically and its definition may be extended to include other analog transmissions such as fax, page 2, lines 14-29).

Hjartarson did not explicitly disclose a method of converting out-of-band DTMF signals, such as fax signals, associated with the analog signal to an out-of-band packet format. However, Nodoushani discloses a loop carrier system capable converting

analog voice samples and signaling (in-band and out-of-band) to IP packets or another format suitable for the access system (see column 43, line 59- column 44, line 6). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method of converting out of band analog signals to out of band packets, as taught by Nodoushani, into the telecommunication system of Hjartarson. The motivation for utilizing the conversion method taught by Nodoushani within the telecommunication system of Hjartarson being that it will allow the system to have a dedicated channel for control signals and allow communication between VOIP/VOATM network and none VOIP/VOATM network, such as PSTN.

Regarding claim 14. Hjartarson discloses all the limitations of claim 1.

Hjartarson does not explicitly disclose a method of converting a voice-band modem signal associated with the analog signal to an out-of-band packet format. However, Nodoushani discloses a loop carrier system capable converting analog voice samples and signaling (in-band and out-of-band) to IP packets or another format suitable for the access system (see column 43, line 59- column 44, line 6). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method of converting voice band signal associated with the analog signals to out of band packets, as taught by Nodoushani, into the telecommunication system of Hjartarson. The motivation for utilizing the conversion method taught by Nodoushani within the telecommunication system of Hjartarson being that it will allow the system to have a dedicated channel for control signals and allow

communication between VOIP/VOATM network and none VOIP/VOATM network, such as PSTN.

### ***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Note: It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

### ***Conclusion***

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

When responding to this office action, applicants are advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which they think the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Applicants must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37C.F.R 1.111(c). In addition, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and pages numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL whose telephone number is (571)270-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (1pm-4:30pm) eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. M. /  
Examiner, Art Unit 2619

/Hassan Kizou/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619